

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,070	02/03/2006	Michiel Jos Van Duuren	NL03 0977 US1	9477	
65913 NXP, B,V,	7590 08/28/200	98	EXAMINER		
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			LAPPAS, JASON		
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKA	Y DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA 95131			2827		
			[
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/28/2008	EI ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/567,070	VAN DUUREN, MICHIEL JOS					
Examiner	Art Unit					
JASON LAPPAS	2827					

	JASON LAPPAS	2827	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory prior for reply expires lest Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 760.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.195(a). The date in have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued of 27 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b. (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belob (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett application.	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			
non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/AMIR ZARABIAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2827			

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: After ease execution is confirmed the first block is pre-programmed, by charging, and subsequently undergoes data erase, steps repeat for proceeding blocks. Sing GO 1 lines 33-34. When all blocks are selected all blocks are preprogrammed then erased. Both references teach flash cells, therefore the ONO flash cell taught by Tonii s a charge trapping memory device that is a suitable replacement for the flash cell taught by Shiga, arginer with respect to 103 combination is not convincing, 103 combination with Torn is merely used to teach programming by charging and erasing by discharging. The procedure is taught through 103 combination by Shiga, therefore, argument is not convincing, arguance show the EPFOM using ONO as seen in Fig 3 and 4. Yamazoe is used to teach reading the data content stored in a non-volatile memory device of the array at least one further non-volatile memory device and a series of the array. Yamazoe leaches reference eall which is programming and block berased or programming to control the timing for a putrality of memories using information from the reference cell (Yamazoe Col 7 lines 3-6). Read timing is based on the deterioration of the reference memory (Col 7 lines 11-13). Also note claim 3 claims NVMs with where not defined prior, therefore, argument based on 103 combination is not onchinging. Shigt teaches sense amp Fig 2. Hirakawa teaches a reference cell in a sense amp to a sense amp as addressed in the rejection, 103 is used to show rearrangement of reference cell in a sense amp. This is the reason for combining Hirakawa with Shiga and Torii.